

**SYNOPSIS OF SIGNIFICANT MOTIONS  
MARINE ADVISORY BOARD MEETING  
THURSDAY, MARCH 6, 2014  
CITY HALL – 6:00 PM  
CITY COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM – EIGHTH FLOOR  
100 NORTH ANDREWS AVENUE**

The following is a synopsis of the significant motions made at the Marine Advisory Board meeting of March 6, 2014, (minutes attached) that were specifically adopted as recommendations to the City Commission:

**Communications to City Commission**

1. **Motion** made by Mr. Dean, seconded by Mr. Ressing, to submit the following communication to the City Commission:

In response to the memo and attachments forwarded to the Marine Advisory Board concerning Las Olas Marina, the MAB believes several items were omitted or not properly addressed:

- Reference to a 6000 lineal ft. marina, identified by Sasaki as Option 2, a year and a half ago and at earlier City Commission meetings in 2013. The 6000 ft. marina was the major topic of conversation at the May 2013 Commission workshop;
- Continued reference to the loss of \$515,000 of surface parking revenue. Even if nothing is done to the marina, the surface lot would give way to two parking garages. The loss of revenue should not be attributed as a result of the marina rebuilding;
- This project must be built within the 12-month time frame of the Boat Show, as indicated by Bellingham Marine, which rebuilt Bahia Mar within 9 months;
- It is not the Board's belief that the marina would have to be closed for any significant time, due to phased construction;
- Palm Harbor Marina was built and opened at the height of the recession, and located in mainland Palm Beach. It is not an accurate comparison;
- If the marina is built within 12 months to accommodate the Boat Show, a 100% occupancy would be recognized, followed by the winter season;
- While Mr. Dean has provided substantial documents of importance, it should be noted that these documents came from reliable and recognizable sources such as the Florida Inland Navigational District (FIND), Bellingham Marine, and the Marine Industries Association of South Florida (MIASF);
- On Scenarios A and C on the pro forma spreadsheet, these are not applicable and should be substituted with a Scenario G, listing 6000 ft. and a rate at a minimum of \$1.63 and an occupancy of 74%;

It should also be realized, and is realistic to believe, the rate is closer to a minimum of \$2.00 per foot, as supported by the previously submitted rate survey.

In a voice vote, the **motion** passed unanimously.

2. **Motion** made by Mr. Ross, seconded by Mr. Dean, to send a communication to the City Commission requesting that they direct the appropriate City Staff to review the maximum seawall heights of the current City of Fort Lauderdale Code of Ordinances, specifically Section 47-19.3 (4) (f), not to exceed 6.5 ft. due to the projected rise in sea level. In a voice vote, the **motion** passed unanimously.

Page 2.



---

**Andrew Cuba, Manager of Marine Facilities**  
**AC**  
**Attachment**